



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

RANDALL B. BATEMAN  
BATEMAN IP LAW GROUP  
8 EAST BROADWAY, SUITE 550  
P.O. BOX 1319  
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84110

**COPY MAILED**

**JUN 09 2005**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:	
Walter M. Bain	:	
Application No. 10/755,849	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: January 12, 2004	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)
Attorney Docket No. 1311.APT.CI	:	

This is a decision on the petition filed December 20, 2004, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 for the benefit of priority to prior-filed nonprovisional Application No. 09/655,704, as set forth in the amendment filed October 7, 2004.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant pending application was filed on January 12, 2004, and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. A reference to the above-noted prior-filed nonprovisional application has been included in an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(iii).

The instant nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim herein for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed nonprovisional application is submitted after

expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). Also, the reference to the prior-filed nonprovisional application was submitted during the pendency of the instant nonprovisional application, for which the claim for benefit of priority is sought. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 120.

Accordingly, having found that the instant petition for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 to the prior-filed nonprovisional application satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), the petition is granted.

37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. Since the statement contained in the instant petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), the statement contained in the instant petition is being construed as the statement required by 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3).

**The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed application under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) should not be construed as meaning that the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application. In order for the instant application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed application should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed application noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.**

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Irvin Dingle at (571) 272-3210.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 3653.



Frances Hicks

Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy

Attachment: Corrected Filing Receipt